arXiv:1409.1434v2 [cs.IT] 30 Oct 2014

RUN VECTOR ANALYSIS AND BARKER SEQUENCES OF ODD LENGTH

JÜRGEN WILLMS

Abstract. The run vector of a binary sequence reflects the run structure of the sequence, which is given by the set of all substrings of the run length encoding. The run vector and the aperiodic autocorrelations of a binary sequence are strongly related. In this paper, we analyze the run vector of skew-symmetric binary sequences. Using the derived results we present a new and different proof that there exists no Barker sequence of odd length n >13. Barker sequences are binary sequences whose off-peak aperiodic autocorrelations are all in magnitude at most 1.

1. Introduction

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$ be a sequence of real numbers of length $n \geq 1$. a is called a *binary* sequence if $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$. For $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$ the kth *aperiodic autocorrelation* of the binary sequence$a$ is defined by

$$C_k := \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} a_i a_{i+k}. \tag{1.1}$$

Furthermore, we set $C_n := 0$. C_0 is called the *peak autocorrelation* of a and we have $C_0 = n$ and

$$C_k \equiv n - k \bmod 2 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{1.2}$$

In a wide range of engineering applications it is of interest to collectively minimize the absolute values of the off-peak autocorrelations. Prominent examples of such binary sequences are Barker sequences whose off-peak aperiodic autocorrelations are in magnitude as small as possible. Thus, a Barker sequence is a binary sequence for which $|C_k| \leq 1$ for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. If n is odd, then a well-known result in [1] says, that Barker sequences of odd length $n > 13$ do not exist. However, in [2] it is shown that the proof of this result as presented in [1] is incomplete. It is at the moment not all at clear whether and how this rather complicated proof can be fixed.

Similar to [3], one objective of this paper is to find an alternative proof that is easier to understand. A main idea of the original proof in [1] is to show that the first elements of a Barker sequence of odd length exhibit some periodic behavior, which at the same time implies that the sequence must be short. Run length encoding is a natural way to express this "periodic behavior". Since autocorrelation and run

Date: 30.10.14.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B83, 94A55, 68R15, 68P30 .

Key words and phrases. binary sequence, Barker sequence, autocorrelation, run vector, run structure, run, run length encoding, balanced, skew-symmetric.

structure of a binary sequence are strongly related (see [4]), another objective of this paper is to explore whether the properties of the run vector lead to an alternative proof.

The here presented alternative proof that there exists no Barker sequence of odd length $n > 13$, relies on a careful analysis of the run vector of skew-symmetric sequences. The run vector of a binary sequence (as introduced in [4]) reflects the run structure which is given by the set of all substrings of the run length encoding. In order to simplify the definition of the run vector we use a slightly different approach compared to [4] where a more combinatorial approach is used. As shown in [4] skew-symmetric sequences have a balanced run length encoding and vice versa. Theorem 1 (see [4]) shows how the run vector and the aperiodic correlations are related. For the reader's convenience these two used results from [4] are explicitly proven in Appendix A by using the here introduced terminology.

Lemma 2 characterizes sequences having a balanced run length in terms of their run vector; in particular, this result is used in Proposition 8 that a Barker sequence of odd length has a balanced run length encoding. A key result is Lemma 10, which can be used in order to show that a Barker sequence of odd length must be short. Theorem 12 describes the structure of the first elements of a Barker sequence of odd length n provided that $n > 5$ and $r_1 > 1$; it also gives an upper bound for n. The following Corollary 13 states that Barker sequences of odd length $n > 13$ do not exist. Finally, assuming that $a_1 = a_2$ we show in Corollary 14 that for a Barker sequence of odd length $n \geq 3$ either $r = (2, 1)$, $r = (3, 1, 1)$, $r = (3, 2, 1, 1)$, $r = (3, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)$ or $r = (5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ where r denotes the run length encoding of a.

As usual, we exploit the fact that periodic and aperiodic autocorrelations are related. For the definition of the periodic autocorrelations put $a_{n+i} := a_i$ for $i \geq 1$; then for $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$ the kth *periodic autocorrelation* is given by

$$\tilde{C}_k := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_{i+k}. \tag{1.3}$$

Note further that for $k = 1, \cdots, n-1$ we have $\tilde{C}_k = \tilde{C}_{n-k}$ and

$$\tilde{C}_k = C_k + C_{n-k}. \tag{1.4}$$

If the binary sequence a is constant then $\tilde{C}_k = n$. Moreover, inverting one element a_i of a either changes the sum $\tilde{C}_k$ by ± 4 or leaves $\tilde{C}_k$ unchanged. Therefore, for $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$ we obtain

$$\tilde{C}_k \equiv n \bmod 4. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that if a is a Barker sequence of odd length $n = 2m - 1$ then by (1.4) and (1.5) we obtain for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$

$$C_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^{m+1} & \text{if } k \text{ even.} \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

2. Preliminaries

In the following a will always be a fixed binary sequence of length n. To circumvent boundary problems we will put $a_0 := 0$. Furthermore, $r = (r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_\gamma)$ will always denote the run length encoding of a and γ the length of r. In particular, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma} r_j = n = C_0$. Moreover, if we put $s_0 := 0$ and $s_{j+1} := s_j + r_{j+1}$ then $a_{s_j} \neq a_{s_j+1}$ and $a_{s_j+1} = a_{s_j+2} = \cdots = a_{s_{j+1}}$ for all $j = 0, 1, \cdots, \gamma - 1$. Note that $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_\gamma = n$ and

$$s_j = r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, \gamma \tag{2.1}$$

Observe that

$$C_1 = 1 + n - 2\gamma \tag{2.2}$$

since for each $j = 1, 2, \cdots, \gamma - 1$ the jth run of a contributes $r_j - 2$ to the sum $C_1(a)$ and the last run contributes $r_\gamma - 1$ to the sum C_1; thus $C_1 = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma}(r_j - 2) = 1 + n - 2\gamma$. In [4] it is shown that the run structure of a can be used in order to efficiently calculate the autocorrelations of a. In order to formulate these results we need a few more definitions from [4].

Let $t_0 := 0$ and $t_{j+1} := t_j + r_{\gamma-j}$ for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, \gamma - 1$. Note that $1 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_\gamma = n$ and that for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, \gamma$

$$t_j := r_\gamma + r_{\gamma-1} + \cdots + r_{\gamma-j+1} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$s_j + t_{\gamma-j} = n. \tag{2.4}$$

As in [4] let

$$S := \{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{\gamma-1}\} \tag{2.5}$$

$$T := \{t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{\gamma-1}\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Note that for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ we have

$$k \in S \Leftrightarrow a_k a_{k+1} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad k \in S \Leftrightarrow n - k \in T. \tag{2.7}$$

In the following consider the functions $f_S,\ f_T,\ f : \mathbb{Z} \to \{-1, 0, 1\}$ defined by

$$f_S(k) := \begin{cases} (-1)^j & \text{if } k \in S \text{ with } k = s_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

$$f_T(k) := \begin{cases} (-1)^j & \text{if } k \in T \text{ with } k = t_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

$$f(k) := f_S(k) + f_T(k). \tag{2.10}$$

Note that by (2.4) we have for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$f_S(k) = (-1)^\gamma f_T(n - k). \tag{2.11}$$

For $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$ define

$$U_k := \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma-1} (-1)^j f_T(k - s_j). \tag{2.12}$$

Note that $U_k = 0$ if $1 \leq k \leq s_1$. Next we will define the run vector $R = (R_1, R_2, \cdots, R_{n-1})$. The run vector R of a binary sequence reflects the run structure, which is given by the set of all substrings of r. Unlike in [4], where a more combinatorial approach based on the run structure is chosen, we will use U_k in order to define R_k; therefore, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$ define

$$\tilde{R}_k := f(k) + 2U_k \qquad \text{and} \qquad R_k := (-1)^\gamma \tilde{R}_{n-k}. \tag{2.13}$$

The following result from [4] which we will use for the proof of our main result shows how the aperiodic autocorrelations and the run vector are related.

Theorem 1 (see [4]). *Let $k = 1, \cdots, n-1$; then*

$$C_{k+1} - 2C_k + C_{k-1} = -2R_k$$

Proof. Corollary 8 in [4] shows that R_k is kth component of the run vector R of a and thus the result follows directly from Theorem 1 in [4].

In Appendix A we give a separate proof of Theorem 1 without referring to [4]. □

2.1. **Skew-Symmetric Sequences and Balanced Run Length Encoding.** An odd length binary sequence a of length $2m-1$ is called *skew-symmetric* if for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$

$$a_{m-j} = (-1)^j a_{m+j}. \tag{2.14}$$

Skew-symmetric sequences are of particular interest in different areas; as we will see Barker sequences of odd length are for example are skew-symmetric. It is not difficult to see that for a skew-symmetric sequence a we have $C_k = 0$ if k is odd. In particular, by (2.2) it follows that $\gamma = \frac{n+1}{2} = m$. Furthermore, by Theorem 1 we have $C_{k+1} - 2C_k + C_{k-1} = -2R_k$ for all $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. Therefore for a skew-symmetric sequence a and $1 \leq k < n$ we obtain $R_k = C_k$ if k is even and $R_k = -\frac{1}{2}(C_{k-1} + C_{k+1})$ if k is odd.

As in [4] we call a run length encoding r *balanced* if

$$S \cup T = \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\} \text{ and } S \cap T = \varnothing. \tag{2.15}$$

Note that if r is balanced then $2(\gamma - 1) = n - 1$ and hence n is odd and $\gamma = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Note that this definition of a balanced run length encoding differs considerably from the definition of "balanced binary sequences" in the terminology of [5], where it is required that the number of ones is nearly equal to the number of minus ones. In [4] it is shown that a binary sequence a is skew-symmetric if and only if its run length encoding r is balanced; a separate proof of this result is given in Proposition 15 in Appendix A.

Lemma 2. *r is balanced if and only if $\tilde{R}_k$ is odd for all $1 \le k < n$*

Proof. If r is balanced then for all $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$ either $k \in S$ or $k \in T$; thus $|f(k)| = 1$ and by (2.13) $\tilde{R}_k$ is odd. On the other hand, suppose that $\tilde{R}_k$ is odd for all $1 \le k < n$. Then $f(k)$ is odd and thus $|f(k)| = 1$ for all $1 \le k < n$; hence either $k \in S \setminus T$ or $k \in T \setminus S$. Therefore, $S \cap T = \varnothing$ and $S \cup T = \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$, which shows that r is balanced. □

Lemma 3. *Let r be balanced and assume that $s_{\mu-1} < k < s_\mu$ for some $1 \le \mu \le \gamma$. Then $f(k) = f_T(k) = -(-1)^{k+\mu}$.*

Proof. Let $s_{\mu-1} < k < s_\mu$ for some $1 \le \mu \le \gamma$. Since r is balanced, we have $k \in T$ and thus $k = t_j$ for some $1 \le j < \gamma$. Since r is balanced we have $j = k - (\mu - 1)$ and hence $f(k) = f_T(k) = (-1)^j = -(-1)^{k+\mu}$. □

Lemma 4. *Let r be balanced and let $s \in S$ be odd; then*

(i) $f(s-1) = -f(s)$ *if* $s > 1$
(ii) $f(s+1) = f(s)$ *if* $s + 1 \in T$.

Proof. We have $s = s_\mu$ for some $1 \le \mu < \gamma$. Note that then $f(s) = (-1)^\mu$. If $s - 1 \in S$ then $f(s-1) = -f(s)$ by the definition of f_S. If $s - 1 \in T$ then by Lemma 3 we have $f(s-1) = -(-1)^{s-1+\mu} = -f(s)$ since s is odd. Similarly, if $s + 1 \in T$ then we get by Lemma 3 that $f(s+1) = -(-1)^{s+1+\mu+1} = f(s)$. □

Note, that a similar result holds if $s \in S$ is even, but in following we are only interested in the case where s is odd.

Lemma 5. *Let r be balanced and let $1 \le k < n$. Assume that $s_{\mu-1} < k \le s_\mu$ for some $0 \le \mu \le \gamma$. Then*

$$U_k \equiv \mu \pmod 2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad k = 2s \text{ for some } s \in S.$$

Proof. Put $M_k := \{1 \le j < \gamma : |f_T(k - s_j)| = 1\}$ and $m_k := |M_k|$. Then $U_k \equiv m_k \pmod 2$ and $m_k < \mu$; furthermore, $m_k = \mu - 1$ if and only if $k - s_j \in T$ (and thus $k - s_j \notin S$) for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, \mu - 1$. Observe that if $k - s_j \in S$ with $j < \mu$ then $k - s_j = s_i$ for some $1 \le i < \mu$ and hence also $k - s_i \in S$. Moreover, $k - s_j = s_j$ if and only if $k = 2s$ for some $s \in S$. Therefore, we have $m_k \equiv \mu \pmod 2$ (and thus $U_k \equiv m_k \pmod 2$) if and only if $k = 2s$ for some $s \in S$. □

Lemma 6. *Let r be balanced and let $1 \le k < n$ with $k \in S$. Then $k = 2s$ for some $s \in S$ if and only if $\tilde{R}_k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.*

Proof. We have $k = s_\mu$ for some $1 \le \mu < \gamma$ and thus $f(k) = f_S(k) = (-1)^\mu$ and $\tilde{R}_k = (-1)^\mu + 2U_k$. Since $(-1)^\mu \equiv 2\mu + 1 \pmod 4$ we have $\tilde{R}_k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ if and only if $U_k \equiv \mu \pmod 2$. Hence, by Lemma 5 it follows that $k = 2s$ for some $s \in S$ if and only if $\tilde{R}_k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. □

The next lemma shows that if r is balanced and the first $\mu - 1$ elements of r are greater than 1 then the last $s_\mu - \mu$ elements are less than or equal to 2.

Lemma 7. *Let r be balanced and let $1 \le \mu < \gamma$. Assume that $r_j \ge 2$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, \mu - 1$. Then $s_\mu - \mu < \gamma$ and $r_{\gamma+1-j} \le 2$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s_\mu - \mu$.*

Proof. Since r is balanced we have $|\{1,2,\cdots,s_\mu\}\cap S| = \mu$ and $|\{1,2,\cdots,s_\mu\}\cap T| = s_\mu - \mu$. In particular, this shows that $s_\mu - \mu < \gamma$ and $t_{s_\mu-\mu} < s_\mu$. Assume that $r_{\gamma+1-j} > 2$ for some $1 \le j \le s_\mu - \mu$. Then $t_j - t_{j-1} = r_{\gamma+1-j} > 2$. Since r is balanced $t_j - 1$ and $t_j - 2$ are both in S; hence $t_j - 1 = s_i$ for some $2 \le i \le \gamma$ and thus $s_i - 1 = s_{i-1}$. Furthermore, since $j \le s_\mu - \mu$ we have $s_i = t_j - 1 \le t_{s_\mu-\mu} - 1 < s_\mu$, which shows that $i < \mu$ and thus $r_i \ge 2$. On the other hand, since $s_i - 1 = s_{i-1}$ we have $r_i = 1$, which contradicts our assumption. This shows that $r_{\gamma+1-j} \le 2$ for all $j = 1,2,\cdots,s_\mu - \mu$. □

2.2. **Barker Sequences.** Let a be a Barker sequence of odd length $n = 2m-1$ and let $1 \le k < n$. Then by (1.6) and Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \begin{cases} -m & \text{if } m \text{ odd} \\ 1-m & \text{if } m \text{ even} \end{cases} \\ R_k &= (-1)^{k+m+1} \quad \text{for } k = 2,3,\cdots,n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The next result provides the basis for the analysis of the run vector of Barker sequences of odd length.

Proposition 8. *Let a be a Barker sequence of odd length n. Then r is balanced, $\gamma = \frac{n+1}{2}$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_k &= (-1)^k \quad \textit{for all } 1 \le k \le n-2 \\ \tilde{R}_{n-1} &= \begin{cases} \gamma & \textit{if } \gamma \textit{ odd} \\ 1-\gamma & \textit{if } \gamma \textit{ even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. Let $n = 2m-1$ and $1 \le k < n$. By (2.16) R_k and thus also $\tilde{R}_k$ is odd; hence by Lemma 2 r is balanced . Since r is balanced, we have $\gamma = \frac{n+1}{2}$; hence $\gamma = m$ and (2.17) follows directly from (2.16). □

Note that by Proposition 15 a Barker sequence of odd length is skew-symmetric. Note further that this result can be generalized. If n is odd and $C_j = 0$ for all odd j with $1 \le j < n$ then from (1.4), (1.5) and Theorem 1 it follows that $\tilde{R}_k$ is odd for all $1 \le k < n$ and thus r is balanced and a skew-symmetric.

3. The Main Result

In this section we will prove that there exists no Barker sequence of odd length $n > 13$. For the following we always set $p := r_1$, and if r is balanced with $p > 1$ we always set

$$\nu := min\{1 \le j < \gamma : \ r_{j+1} \bmod p \ne 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad q := r_{\nu+1}.$$

Let r be balanced with $p > 1$. Then $r_\gamma = 1$; hence ν is well defined and $1 \le \nu < n$. In the following we will consider two different cases, which we can treat quite similarly by putting $\alpha := 1$ if $q \bmod p = 1$ and $\alpha := 0$ otherwise.

Let us first sketch the idea of the proof. Suppose that a is a Barker sequence of odd length n. As we will see we may assume without loss of generality that $a_1 = a_2$; thus we have $p > 1$. The key observation is that on the one hand by (2.17) we have $\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1} = 0$ for all $k = 2,3,\cdots,n-2$. On the other hand, we show in Lemma 10 that $|\tilde{R}_{k_0} + \tilde{R}_{k_0-1}| \ge 2$ if $k_0 := p + s_{\nu+1} + \alpha < n$. Hence, we must

have $k_0 \geq n-1$, which is, as we will see, only possible if $p=3$ or $p=5$ and if in addition $\nu \leq 2$ and $q \leq 2$. However, this implies that n is small.

Lemma 9. *Let r be balanced with $p>1$; then*

(i) $\tilde{R}_p = -1$
(ii) $s_j \bmod p = 0$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \cdots, \nu$
(iii) $s_{\nu+1} \bmod p \neq 0$ *and* $q \bmod p \neq 0$
(iv) $s_{\nu+1} \leq \gamma + 1$ *if* $p \geq 3$

Proof. (i): From the definition of U_p and f it follows directly that $U_p = 0$ and $f(p) = f_S(p) = -1$; hence, $\tilde{R}_p = -1$.

(ii) and *(iii)*: Both statements follow directly from the definition of ν.

(iv): Let $p \geq 3$ and put $\mu := \nu + 1$; then $\mu < \gamma$ and $r_j \geq p \geq 3$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, \mu-1$ and $\mu < \gamma$. By Lemma 7 we have $s_\mu - \mu < \gamma$ and $r_{\gamma+1-(s_\mu-\mu)} \leq 2$. Hence, $\gamma + 1 - (s_\mu - \mu) \geq \mu$ and thus $s_\mu \leq \gamma + 1$. □

The next lemma is a key result; it provides the main argument for showing that a Barker sequence of odd length must be short.

Lemma 10. *Let r be balanced with $p \geq 3$ and let $k := p + s_{\nu+1} + \alpha$. Assume that*

(i) *p and $s_{\nu+1}$ are both odd*
(ii) $s_{\nu+1} + 1 \in T \quad$ *if* $\alpha = 1$.

Then

$$|\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1}| \geq 2 \quad \text{if } k < n \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Put $k_j := k - s_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, \gamma - 1$ and $g(i) := f_T(i) + f_T(i-1)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by (2.13) we have $\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1} = f(k) + f(k-1) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma-1}(-1)^j g(k_j)$.

We claim that $g(k_1) = (-1)^{\nu+\alpha}$ and $g(k_{\nu+1}) = (-1)^\alpha$. Note that $k_1 = s_{\nu+1} + \alpha$ and $k_{\nu+1} = p + \alpha$. If $\alpha = 0$ then $k_1 \in S$, k_1 is odd by (i) and $k_1 - 1 \in T$ since $q > 1$; therefore, by Lemma 4 we obtain $g(k_1) = f_T(k_1) + f_T(k_1 - 1) = f_T(k_1 - 1) = f(k_1 - 1) = -f(k_1) = (-1)^\nu$ and $g(k_{\nu+1}) = f_T(p) + f_T(p-1) = 1$ since p is odd.

If $\alpha \neq 0$ then by (ii) $k_1 \in T$ and $k_1 - 1 \in S$ and by Lemma 4 we get $g(k_1) = f_T(k_1) = f(s_{\nu+1} + 1) = f(s_{\nu+1}) = (-1)^{\nu+1}$. Observe that $p + 1 \in T$ since $p + 1 \in S$ would imply that $s_{\nu+1} = s_2$ is even. Hence, $g(k_{\nu+1}) = f_T(p+1) + f_T(p) = -1$ for $\alpha \neq 0$.

Next we want to show that $g(k_j) = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq \nu$. So suppose that $\nu \geq 2$ and let $2 \leq j \leq \nu$. Then $r_2 \geq p$ and thus $k_j < s_{\nu+1}$. Furthermore, note that $k_j = p + (s_\nu - s_j) + q + \alpha$ and that p divides neither $q + \alpha$ nor $q + \alpha - 1$. Therefore, p divides neither k_j nor $k_j - 1$; since $k_j < s_{\nu+1}$this shows that k_j and $k_j - 1$ are both in T and thus $g(k_j) = 0$.

Finally, suppose that $j \geq \nu + 2$. Then by (ii) we have $k_j < p$. Note that $g(1) = -1$ and $g(i) = 0$ if $i < p$ and $i \neq 1$. Moreover, we have $k_j = 1$ if and only if $f_S(k-1) \neq 0$, and if $k_j = 1$ then $g(k_j) = -1$ and $f_S(k-1) = f(s_j) = (-1)^j$. Therefore, we get $\sum_{j=\nu+2}^{\gamma-1}(-1)^j g(k_j) = -f_S(k-1)$.

Altogether, we get $\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma-1}(-1)^j g(k_j) = -(-1)^{\nu+\alpha} + (-1)^{\nu+1}(-1)^\alpha - f_S(k-1) = -2(-1)^{\nu+\alpha} - f_S(k-1)$ and thus $\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1} = f(k) + f_T(k-1) - f_S(k-1) - 4(-1)^{\nu+\alpha}$. Since $|f(k)| = 1$ and $|f_T(k-1) - f_S(k-1)| = 1$ we get $|\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1}| \geq 2$. □

Note that if r is balanced then $2|\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1}| = |C_{n-k+2} - C_{n-k}|$ if k is odd and $2|\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1}| = |C_{n-k+1} - C_{n-k-1}|$ if k is even. Thus, $|\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1}| \geq 2$ implies that $|C_j| \geq 3$ for some $j \in \{n-k-1, n-k, n-k+1, n-k+2\}$.

Next we want to apply the derived results to Barker sequences of odd length. Note that if a is a Barker sequence of odd length n then Proposition 8 shows that r is balanced and $\tilde{R}_k = (-1)^k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-2$.

Lemma 11. *Let a be a Barker sequence of odd length n with $p > 1$; then*

(i) p *is odd (and thus* $p \geq 3$*) if* $n > 3$
(ii) $s_{\nu+1}$ *is odd if* $n > 5$
(iii) $s_{\nu+1} + 1 \in T$ *if* $n > 5$ *and* $\alpha = 1$
(iv) $n \leq p + s_{\nu+1} + \alpha + 1$ if $n > 5$.

Proof. (i): Suppose $n > 3$; then $\gamma \geq 3$ and thus $p < n-1$ and $\tilde{R}_p = (-1)^p$. Hence, by Lemma 9 (i) p is odd and hence $p \geq 3$.

(ii): Suppose that $n > 5$. Then by Lemma 9 (iv) we have $s_{\nu+1} \leq \gamma + 1 \leq \frac{n+3}{2} \leq n-2$. Assume that $s_{\nu+1}$ is even. Then $\tilde{R}_{s_{\nu+1}} = 1$ and by Lemma 6 we would get $s_{\nu+1} = 2s_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, which is not possible by Lemma 9 (ii) and (iii). Hence, $s_{\nu+1}$ is odd.

(iii): Suppose that $n > 5$ and $\alpha = 1$. By (ii) $s_{\nu+1} + 1$ is even. Assume that $s_{\nu+1} + 1 \in S$; then by Lemma 6 we have $s_{\nu+1} + 1 = 2s_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ and thus $q \bmod p = s_{\nu+1} \bmod p = p - 1$, which is not possible since $p \geq 3$ and $q \bmod p = 1$. Hence, $s_{\nu+1} + 1 \in T$.

(iv): Note that $\tilde{R}_k + \tilde{R}_{k-1} = 0$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n-2$. Suppose that $n > 5$. Then (i), (ii) and (iii) show that we can apply Lemma 10 which gives us that $n - 1 \leq p + s_{\nu+1} + \alpha$. □

Theorem 12. *Let a be a Barker sequence of odd length $n > 5$ with $p > 1$; then $n \leq 13$ and either $r_1 = r_2 = 3$ and $r_3 = 1$ or $r_1 \in \{3, 5\}$ and $r_2 = 2$.*

Proof. Lemma 9 (iv) together with Lemma 11 (i) and (iv) give us that $2s_{\nu+1} \leq 2\gamma + 2 = n + 3 \leq p + s_{\nu+1} + \alpha + 4$; thus we have $\nu p + q \leq s_{\nu+1} \leq p + \alpha + 4$ and therefore $\nu \leq \frac{p+4-(q-\alpha)}{p}$. Hence, $1 \leq \nu \leq 2$ and $q \leq 4$. Moreover, this shows also that $\nu = 2$ is only possible if $p = 3$, $r_2 = 3$ and $q = 1$. Hence for $\nu = 2$ we have $r_1 = r_2 = 3$, $r_3 = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $s_3 = 7$ and therefore $n \leq 12$ by Lemma 11 (iv).

Next suppose that $\nu = 1$. By Lemma 11 (ii) s_2 is odd and so q must be even; hence either $q = 2$ or $q = 4$. In particular, we have $n \leq 2p + q + 1$ by Lemma 11 (iv).We claim that $p \leq 5$. Assume that $p > 5$. Then $p \geq 7$ and $r_\gamma = r_{\gamma-1} = \cdots = r_{\gamma-5} = 1$ and therefore $\{n-5, n-4, \cdots, n-1\} \subseteq S$. Lemma 6 gives us that $2s = n - 5$ for some $s \in S$. Again by Lemma 6 it follows that $s + 1$ is also in S. Since $q \geq 2$ we have $s \geq p + q$ and thus $n \geq 2p + 2q + 5$, which is not possible. This shows that $p \leq 5$.

It remains to show that if $\nu = 1$ then $q = 4$ is not possible. If $p = 5$, $\nu = 1$ and $q = 4$, then $r = (5, 4, \cdots, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ because r is balanced; but this is not possible since then $n \leq 2p + q + 1 = 15$ by Lemma 11 (iv). Similarly, if $p = 3$, $\nu = 1$ and $q = 4$ then $r = (3, 4, \cdots, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$, which is not possible since then $n \leq 2p + q + 1 = 11$. Hence, $q = 2$ and $n \leq 13$ if $\nu = 1$. □

Corollary 13. *There exists no Barker sequences of odd length $n > 13$.*

Proof. Let a be a Barker sequence of odd length n. Note that if $n > 1$ and $p = r_1 = 1$ then $r_\gamma > 1$. Note further that the binary sequence $(a_n, a_{n-1}, \cdots, a_1)$, whose run length encoding is given by $(r_\gamma, r_{\gamma-1}, \cdots, r_1)$ is also a Barker sequence of the same length n. Hence, without loss of generality we can assume that $p > 1$. By Theorem 12 we have $n \leq 13$. □

Corollary 14. *a is a Barker sequence of odd length n with $p > 1$ if and only if $r = (2, 1)$, $r = (3, 1, 1)$, $r = (3, 2, 1, 1)$, $r = (3, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)$ or $r = (5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that if $r = (2, 1)$, $r = (3, 1, 1)$, $r = (3, 2, 1, 1)$, $r = (3, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)$ or $r = (5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ then a is a Barker sequence of odd length n with $p > 1$.

Conversely, let a be a Barker sequence of odd length n with $p > 1$. Proposition 8 shows that r is balanced. Thus, $n = 3$ implies $r = (2, 1)$ and $n = 5$ implies $r = (3, 1, 1)$ since by Lemma 11 (i) p is odd if $n > 3$. For $n > 5$ Theorem 12 shows that either $r_1 = r_2 = 3$ and $r_3 = 1$ or $r_1 \in \{3, 5\}$ and $r_2 = 2$. We will consider these three cases separately.

Suppose that $r_1 = r_2 = 3$ and $r_3 = 1$. Then $r = (3, 3, 1, \cdots, 2, 1, 1)$ and thus $n \geq 11$. On the other hand, Lemma 11 (iv) shows that $n \leq 12$. Hence, we have $n = 11$ and $r = (3, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)$.

Suppose next that $r_1 = 3$ and $r_2 = 2$. Then $r = (3, 2, \cdots, 1, 1)$ and $r_{\gamma-2} = 2$. Moreover, $n \leq 9$ by Lemma 11 (iv) and thus $\gamma \leq 5$. Therefore, either $r = (3, 2, 1, 1)$ or $r = (3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$. If $r = (3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ were a Barker sequence then we would have by (2.17) $\tilde{R}_6 = 1$ but (2.13) gives us $\tilde{R}_6 = f(6) - 2(f_T(3) + f_T(1)) = (-1)^{6+2} - 2 = -1$. Hence, $(3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ is not a Barker sequence. Therefore, we have in this case $r = (3, 2, 1, 1)$.

Finally, suppose that $r_1 = 5$ and $r_2 = 2$. Then $r = (5, 2, \cdots, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $r_{\gamma-4} = 2$. Moreover, $n \leq 13$ by Lemma 11 (iv) and thus $\gamma \leq 7$. Therefore, either $r = (5, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ or $r = (5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. However, if $r = (5, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ then $s_3 = 8$ is even and by (2.17) $\tilde{R}_8 = 1$, which contradicts Lemma 6. Hence, $(5, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ is not a Barker sequence. Therefore, we have in this case $r = (5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. □

4. Appendix A

For the reader's convenience we prove in this appendix all used results from [4].

Theorem. *Let $1 \leq k < n$; then*

$$C_{k+1} - 2C_k + C_{k-1} = -2R_k$$

Proof. Put $a_0 := 0$, $a_{n+1} := 0$ and $\delta_i := a_i - a_{i-1}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$. Furthermore define $C_k(\delta) := \sum_{i=1}^{n+1-k} \delta_i \delta_{i+k}$. Let $1 \leq k < n$; then as in the proof of Lemma 2 in [4]

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(\delta) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1-k} (a_i - a_{i-1})(a_{i+k} - a_{i+k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1-k} a_i a_{i+k} - a_i a_{i+k-1} - a_{i-1} a_{i+k} + a_{i-1} a_{i+k-1} \\ &= (C_k(a) + a_{n+1-k} a_{n+1}) - C_{k-1}(a) \\ &\quad -(a_0 a_{k+1} + C_{k+1}(a) + a_{n-k} a_{n+1}) + (a_0 a_k + C_k(a)) \\ &= -C_{k+1}(a) + 2C_k(a) - C_{k-1}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\delta_1 = a_1$, $\delta_{n+1} = (-1)^\gamma a_1$ and for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$ we have $2f_S(i) = a_1 \delta_{i+1}$. Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 6 in [4] we have on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} C_k(\delta) &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 \delta_{k+1} + \delta_{n+1-k} \delta_{n+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-k} \delta_i \delta_{i+k}) \\ &= f_S(k) + (-1)^\gamma f_S(n-k) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} f_S(i) f_S(i+k) \\ &= f_S(k) + f_T(k) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma-1} f_S(s_j) \cdot f_S(s_j + k) \\ &= f(k) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma-1} (-1)^j f_S(s_j + k) \\ &= (-1)^\gamma f(n-k) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma-1} (-1)^j (-1)^\gamma f_T(n - (k + s_j) \\ &= (-1)^\gamma \tilde{R}_{n-k} = R_k \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem. □

Proposition 15. *a is skew-symmetric if and only if r is balanced.*

Proof. Let $1 \le k < n$. Suppose that a is skew-symmetric. Then $a_k a_{k+1} = -a_{n+1-k} a_{n-k}$ and thus by (2.7) we have $k \in S \Leftrightarrow a_k a_{k+1} = -1 \Leftrightarrow a_{n+1-k} a_{n-k} = 1 \Leftrightarrow n - k \notin S \Leftrightarrow k \notin T$. Thus, $S \cap T = \varnothing$ and also $S \cup T = \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$. Hence, r is balanced.

Suppose that run length encoding r of a is balanced. Then $n - k \in T \Leftrightarrow n - k \notin S$ and thus by (2.7) $a_k a_{k+1} = -1 \Leftrightarrow k \in S \Leftrightarrow n - k \in T \Leftrightarrow n - k \notin S \Leftrightarrow a_{n-k} a_{n-k+1} = 1$. Hence, $a_k a_{k+1} = -a_{n-k} a_{n-k+1}$. Since $n = 2\gamma - 1$ we therefore have $a_{\gamma-i} a_{\gamma-i+1} = -a_{\gamma+i-1} a_{\gamma+i}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, \gamma - 1$. In particular, for $i = 1$ we get $a_{\gamma-1} = -a_{\gamma+1}$ and thus $a_{\gamma-2} = a_{\gamma+2}$ for $i = 2$, $a_{\gamma-3} = -a_{\gamma+3}$ for $i = 3$ and so on. Hence, a is skew-symmetric. □

References

[1] R. Turyn and J. Storer, "On binary sequences," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 394–399, 1961.

[2] J. Willms, "Counterexamples to Theorem 1 of Turyn's and Storer's paper 'On binary sequences'",," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.4833*, 2014.

[3] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, "A note on barker polynomials," *International Journal of Number Theory*, vol. 9, no. 03, pp. 759–767, 2013.
[4] J. Willms, "Autocorrelations of binary sequences and run structure," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 59, pp. 4985–4993, Aug 2013.
[5] S. Golomb, L. Welch, R. Goldstein, and A. Hales, *Shift register sequences*, vol. 51. Holden-Day San Francisco, 1967.

E-mail address: willms.juergen@fh-swf.de

Institut für Computer Science, Vision and Computational Intelligence, Fachhochschule Südwestfalen, D-59872 Meschede, Germany